



Regional Consultancy to Assess the Training Needs and Develop MPA Management Training Materials

MPA Management Training Curriculum

Field Activities Guide



2026

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2	MODULE 2.1 MPA ECOLOGICAL DESIGN.....	3
3	MODULE 2.2: INTRODUCTION TO MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING (MSP).....	4
4	MODULE 2.3 INTRODUCTION TO MANAGEMENT PLANNING.....	5
5	MODULE 3.3 FISHERIES BIOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT.....	7
6	MODULE 3.4 ENFORCEMENT.....	9
7	MODULE 3.5 ON-SITE MANAGEMENT.....	10
8	MODULE 4.1 BIOPHYSICAL MONITORING.....	11
9	MODULE 4.2 MPA EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION.....	12
10	MODULE 4.3 SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING.....	13
11	MODULE 5.1 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT.....	15

1 INTRODUCTION

It is recognised that some of the developed modules require a ‘boots-on-the-ground’ or ‘fins-in-the-water’ experience and thus should be delivered in a hybrid format where the theory is taught online, but the fieldwork is mandatory to prove competency. These modules include:

- 2.1 MPA Ecological Design
- 2.2 Introduction to Marine Spatial Planning
- 2.3 Introduction to Management Planning
- 3.3 Fisheries Biology and Management
- 3.4 Enforcement and Compliance
- 3.5 On-site Management
- 4.1 Biophysical monitoring
- 4.2 Protected Area Management Effectiveness Evaluation
- 4.3 Socioeconomic Monitoring
- 5.1 Stakeholder Engagement

Hybrid modules should utilise a split approach, which includes the digital theory and a field component, which is evaluated based on practical proficiency in the field. The suggestion is to utilise a Skill-to-Standard Checklist paired with a Field Log. This ensures that the ‘boots on the ground’ or ‘fins in the water’ time is treated as a professional practicum rather than just a field trip.

It is recommended that the digital theory be linked to the physical field experience, by way of ‘bridge’ activities. These can consist of specific tasks the learner must complete online that they then bring with them to the field. These activities will help to ensure accountability, maximize field time and build a professional portfolio for the learner. Examples of bridge activities for the hybrid modules are provided in (Table 1).

Table 1 Examples of bridge activities to link the online to the field components

Module	The Online Task (Asynchronous)	The Field/Lab Application (Practicum)
2.1 MPA Ecological Design	Perform a desktop gap analysis of a designated area using existing ecological data.	Use the desktop analysis to defend a proposed boundary extension in a group design session.
2.2 Introduction to Marine Spatial Planning (MSP)	Complete a digitized stakeholder mapping exercise and identify overlapping use zones.	Participate in a live Zoning Negotiation simulation using the identified conflict zones.
2.3 Introduction to Management Planning	Draft a Situation Model (threats/drivers) for a specific site based on provided context.	Refine the model with local stakeholders and draft the first three steps of the Action Plan.
3.3 Fisheries Biology and Management	Review life-history data for a target species and calculate a mock Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR).	Conduct a market or landing site survey to compare real-world catch sizes against the theoretical SPR.
3.4 Enforcement and Compliance	Pass a digital quiz on the Legal Rights of Rangers and evidence chain-of-custody protocols.	Participate in a Mock Boarding or Crime Scene exercise where evidence must be secured and logged.
3.5 On-site Management	Inventory a virtual equipment list and schedule a 12-month	Conduct a physical "Site Audit" of a facility or mooring

Module	The Online Task (Asynchronous)	The Field/Lab Application (Practicum)
	preventative maintenance calendar.	field and identify high-priority repairs.
4.1 Biophysical Monitoring	Pass a species/habitat identification photo-test (e.g., coral health or fish species).	Execute a live underwater or coastal transect and compare data variability within the group.
4.2 Protected Area Management Effectiveness Evaluation	Review a site's past 3 years of monitoring data and management logs.	Conduct a Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) audit with the site manager.
4.3 Socioeconomic Monitoring	Design a 10-question survey instrument targeted at a specific stakeholder group (e.g., tour operators).	Pilot the survey with a real community member and analyze the refusal or bias challenges.
4.7 Useful Technologies	Complete a flight simulator module (for drones) or a data-entry tutorial (for GIS/VMS).	Pilot a drone for a coastal survey or use a handheld GPS to ground-truth a specific coordinate.
5.1 Stakeholder Engagement	Analyze a provided case study and identify the Primary, Secondary, and Veto stakeholders.	Facilitate a 15-minute mock stakeholder focus group and receive peer/instructor feedback.

Before being allowed into the field, the learner should submit their bridge activity, which is reviewed by an instructor. During the field component, instructors can utilise a Standardized Proficiency Rubric, which isn't a written test but a 'show me' assessment. The instructor observes the student performing a specific task and checks off their level of mastery. The learner should also be required to maintain a Field Log (a digital or physical binder containing their bridge activity, their raw field data, and their instructor-signed check-off sheets). Finally, a post-field reflection could be included where learners develop a short report or data visualization demonstrating they understand why the field work they did was important.

This guide provides examples of suitable field activities for each of the hybrid modules.

2 MODULE 2.1 MPA ECOLOGICAL DESIGN

The field work is designed to move students from theoretical design "rules of thumb" to the practical application of spatial planning in a real-world environment. It focuses on the transition from broad conservation priorities to the granular reality of drawing lines on a map (zonation).

Duration: 4 Days (Intensive)

Focus: Ground-truthing, Zonation, and Connectivity Mapping

Day 1: Defining the Scope & Site Reconnaissance

- Morning (Land): Establishing the "Planning Area." Review of local biodiversity data to identify priority conservation areas. Discussion on how representativeness (ensuring all habitat types are included) applies to this specific site.
- Afternoon (Boat/Water): Rapid Ecological Assessment (REA). A high-level tour of the area's major habitats (reefs, seagrass, mangroves) to verify if the "paper maps" match the physical reality (ground-truthing).
- Key Concept: Moving from Conservation Prioritization to spatial reality.

Day 2: Connectivity & Size Analysis

- Morning (Field): Assessing connectivity. Students will track physical distances between different habitat types (e.g., distance from mangrove nurseries to outer reef slopes) to determine if current or proposed boundaries allow for species migration.
- Afternoon (Workshop): Applying "Rules of Thumb." Based on the day's observations, students evaluate if the proposed size and replication of habitats within the area are sufficient to sustain fish populations.
- Key Concept: Designing for Ecological Connectivity and resilience.

Day 3: Social & Economic Layering (The Human Element)

- Morning (Coastal Tour): Mapping the "Human Footprint." Identifying traditional fishing grounds, tourism "hotspots," and cultural heritage sites (e.g., shipwrecks or sacred sites).
- Afternoon (Field Exercise): Conflict Mapping. Identifying areas where high ecological value overlaps with high economic use (e.g., a nursery area that is also a primary fishing spot).
- Key Concept: Balancing Ecological Considerations with Social, Economic, and Cultural needs.

Day 4: Zonation Design & Decision Support

- Morning (Desktop/Field Hybrid): Introduction to Decision Support Tools. A simplified "Manual Marxan" exercise where students use transparent overlays to find the "least cost" solution for protecting 30% of each habitat type.
 - Afternoon (Final Presentation): Zonation Proposal. Groups will "draw the lines" for a mock MPA Network or Multi-use Management Area (MMA), justifying their zones (No-take, Buffer, Multi-use) based on the week's field data.
 - Key Concept: Creating a defensible Zonation scheme that meets ecological and social goals.
-

Required Field Tools

- Hardware: Handheld GPS units, binoculars, drones (if available) for aerial habitat mapping.
 - Mapping: Large-scale base maps of the site, transparent overlays, and colored markers for zonation drafting.
 - Data: Pre-loaded tablets with existing habitat and bathymetry layers.
-

Student Deliverable: The "Design Justification"

By the end of the course, students will produce a Spatial Design Brief. This includes:

1. A proposed Zonation Map.
2. A table showing how the design meets the criteria of Representativeness and Replication.
3. A brief explanation of how Social/Economic conflicts were mitigated in the final design.

3 MODULE 2.2: INTRODUCTION TO MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING (MSP)

The field work transitions the learner from a computer screen to the coastline, where theoretical boundaries meet the physical reality of the environment and the livelihoods of those who depend on it.

Duration: 3 days (intensive)

Focus: Ground-truthing, mediation skills

Day 1: Ground-Truthing & Spatial Validation

- Morning: Coastal Transit & Verification. Learners embark on a boat or coastal trek to the proposed planning area. Using handheld GPS or mobile GIS tablets, students will compare "Desktop Map" habitats (e.g., seagrass beds, reef crests) against real-world observations.
- Afternoon: Human-Use Mapping. Documenting "invisible" boundaries, such as active fishing grounds, traditional transit lanes, and unauthorized mooring sites.
- Synthesis: Students identify "Map Gaps"—discrepancies between the official records and the field reality that could lead to management failure.

Day 2: The Participatory Design Studio

- Morning: Local Knowledge Integration. Working with "mock stakeholders" (representatives acting as fishers, hoteliers, or divers), learners facilitate participatory mapping. They must capture "Local Ecological Knowledge" (LEK) on large-format paper maps.
- Afternoon: Conflict Hotspot Analysis. Overlapping the stakeholder interest maps to identify zones of high competition. Learners analyze these "Hotspots" to determine which conflicts are compatible and which require strict separation.

Day 3: The Zoning Negotiation (Capstone)

- Morning: Multi-Objective Trade-offs. In teams, learners must draft a "Consensus Zoning Map." They are forced to make difficult trade-offs, such as relocating a fishing zone to protect a high-value spawning site while ensuring the new zone remains accessible.
 - Afternoon: The Ministerial Defense. Groups present their finalized zoning proposals to a panel. They must defend their spatial decisions using the data collected on Day 1 and the stakeholder social dynamics analyzed on Day 2.
-

Required Equipment & Materials

- Handheld GPS or Tablet with Offline GIS (e.g., Avenza, QField).
 - Large-format printed base maps and transparent overlays.
 - "Stakeholder Persona Cards" for negotiation simulations.
 - Weatherproof field notebooks.
-

Assessment: The "Field Mastery" Check-Off

To pass the field component of 2.2, the instructor must observe and verify the following:

1. Technical Proficiency: Ability to plot and label three distinct coastal use-zones with GPS accuracy.
2. Facilitation Neutrality: Leading a participatory mapping session without leading the stakeholder toward a specific outcome.
3. Rationale Defence: Providing a science-based and social-equity justification for a specific zoning trade-off.

4 MODULE 2.3 INTRODUCTION TO MANAGEMENT PLANNING

This field-work syllabus transforms the theoretical 13-step framework into a practical, stakeholder-driven exercise. Management planning is less about biological surveys and more about process, negotiation, and alignment of objectives.

Duration: 3 Days (Intensive)

Focus: Stakeholder Engagement, Objective Setting, and Framework Application

Day 1: The Planning Context & Governance Audit

- Morning (Field Visit): Meeting with the current practitioners (MPA Manager/Rangers). Conduct a "Site Audit" to see how international and national mandates (e.g., CBD 30x30 targets) are currently reflected on the ground.
- Afternoon (Interview Session): Identifying Roles and Responsibilities. Students interview staff to map out who is responsible for enforcement, monitoring, and community outreach.
- Key Concept: Understanding the Dimensions to Management Planning (linking local action to national policy).

Day 2: Stakeholder Engagement & Problem ID

- Morning (Community Outreach): A facilitated "Town Hall" simulation or real-world meeting with local stakeholders (fishers, tour operators, residents).
- Field Exercise: Problem Tree Analysis. Based on stakeholder feedback, students must identify the root causes of management failure (e.g., "declining fish stocks" caused by "lack of alternative livelihoods" rather than just "poaching").
- Key Concept: Involving stakeholders to ensure plan implementation is realistic and supported.

Day 3: The 13-Step Framework in Action

- Morning (Workshop): Drafting Management Objectives. Based on Day 2's findings, students must write three "SMART" (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) objectives for the site.
 - Afternoon (Planning Simulation): The "13-Step Sprint." Groups are assigned specific steps of the framework (e.g., Step 5: Identifying Threats; Step 10: Designing Management Programs) to present to the "Board."
 - Key Concept: Moving from Preparation to a living document that guides daily operations.
-

Required Field Tools

- Social Toolkit: Semi-structured interview guides and stakeholder mapping templates (Venn diagrams of influence).
 - Planning Tools: Large flipcharts for Problem/Objective Trees and logic model templates.
 - Reference: A copy of the existing MPA Management Plan (if one exists) for "Gap Analysis."
-

Student Deliverable: The "Management Concept Note"

Students will not draft a full 100-page plan, but rather a 5-page Concept Note including:

1. Stakeholder Map: Who needs to be at the table?
 2. Situation Analysis: Primary threats identified during field visits.
 3. Draft Objectives: At least three high-priority objectives linked to the 13-step framework.
-

The 13-Step Framework Reference (Summary for Field Use)

To keep the fieldwork focused, students will use this simplified checklist during their site audit:

1. Pre-planning
2. Stakeholder involvement
3. Defining the vision/mission
4. Compiling the site profile
5. Identifying threats/pressures

6. Setting management objectives
7. Zoning and regulations
8. Management programs (Enforcement, Education, etc.)
9. Budgeting and financing
10. Implementation strategy
11. Monitoring and evaluation plan
12. Review and amendment process
13. Final approval and communication

5 MODULE 3.3 FISHERIES BIOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT

This field-work syllabus moves from the theoretical study of fish populations to the practical reality of landing sites and management enforcement. The goal is to give students a "hook-to-table" understanding of how biological data informs legal and spatial regulations.

Field Syllabus: Fisheries Biology and Management

Duration: 4 Days

Focus: Stock Assessment, Gear Impact, and Management Tool Application

Day 1: Biological Stock Assessment & Data Collection

- Morning (Landing Site/Fish Market): Real-world biological stock assessment. Students practice measuring "Length-Frequency" and identifying unit stocks of key commercial species.
- Afternoon (Lab/Field): Introduction to stock identification techniques. Discussion on conventional (tagging) vs. non-conventional (genetic/otolith) methods. Students record stock abundance indicators from fishers' logbooks.
- Key Concept: Understanding stock dynamics—how much can be harvested before the "yield" becomes unsustainable?

Day 2: Gear Technology & Impacts of Fishing

- Morning (Field Visit): Gear Audit. Examining input tools (nets, traps, lines). Discussion on mesh sizes, "ghost fishing," and habitat destruction caused by specific gear types.
- Afternoon (Water/Shore): Observing the Ecosystem-based Fisheries Management (EBFM) approach. Identifying bycatch and the impacts of fishing on non-target species and the surrounding benthos.
- Key Concept: Linking management tools (input/output controls) to the physical prevention of overexploitation.

Day 3: Governance, IUU, and Legal Frameworks

- Morning (Port/Patrol Office): Meeting with fisheries officers to discuss Legal Frameworks (e.g., how the FAO Code of Conduct or WECAFC recommendations are applied locally).
- Afternoon (Scenario Exercise): Identifying IUU (Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated) fishing. Students role-play a boarding and inspection, checking for prohibited species or undersized catch.
- Key Concept: The Rationale for Management—protecting livelihoods by ensuring legal compliance and reducing corruption in the supply chain.

Day 4: Management Planning & Climate Resilience

- Morning (Workshop): Drafting a Fisheries Management Plan. Students incorporate Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Management (e.g., plans for protecting boats/infrastructure during hurricanes).
- Afternoon (Site Integration): Evaluating the role of MPAs as a fisheries management tool (e.g., "spillover effect"). Students map out a "no-take" replenishment zone to support adjacent fishing grounds.
- Key Concept: Implementing the Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries (EAF) to ensure long-term food security.

Required Field Tools

- Biological Kit: Measuring boards, calipers, weighing scales, and species ID guides.
 - Regulatory Kit: Copies of local Fisheries Acts, mesh-size gauges, and IUU reporting templates.
 - Planning Kit: SWOT analysis templates for Climate Change Adaptation strategies.
-

Student Deliverable: The "Fishery Health Report"

Students will produce a Site-Level Fishery Assessment, including:

1. Biological Snapshot: Length-frequency data for one target species.
2. Gear Analysis: A recommendation for modifying input tools to reduce habitat impact.
3. Resilience Strategy: Two specific actions for Climate Change Adaptation within the local fishing community.

6 MODULE 3.4 ENFORCEMENT

This field-work syllabus moves enforcement from the legal text to the water. It emphasizes the MCS (Monitoring, Control, and Surveillance) chain and the practical application of the 10 Enforcement Principles, focusing on how to maintain a presence even when resources are limited.

Field Syllabus: MPA Enforcement

Duration: 4 Days

Focus: Patrol Tactics, Surveillance Technology, and Compliance Procedures

Day 1: The MCS Chain & Framework Audit

- Morning (Station Visit): Defining the Workflow. Students shadow rangers to see how Monitoring (observation) turns into Control (regulations) and Surveillance (active patrol).
- Afternoon (Document Review): "Legal Pocket Guide." Students cross-reference the MPA's Enforcement Framework (laws/decrees) with actual field citations. They identify "Gaps and Overlaps" between agency jurisdictions (e.g., Coast Guard vs. Fisheries).
- Key Concept: Understanding the 10 Principles, specifically "Clarity of Laws" and "Professionalism."

Day 2: Surveillance Tools & Remote Sensing

- Morning (Tech Demo): Hands-on with Enforcement Tools. Demonstration of Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) and Automatic Identification Systems (AIS). Students track "ghost" vessels on a monitoring screen to identify suspicious patterns.
- Afternoon (Air & Sea): Using Drones and Remote Sensing. Practical exercise in deploying a drone to survey an "exclusion zone" without alerting potential violators.
- Key Concept: Overcoming Limited Human Capacity through technology.

Day 3: At-Sea Operations & Approach Tactics

- Morning (Tactical Simulation): At-Sea Monitoring. Students practice "The Approach"—manoeuvring a vessel safely alongside a "violation" while maintaining situational awareness.
- Afternoon (Standard Operating Procedures): Mock Boarding and Search. Students practice the Powers of Detention and Search using standardized checklists to ensure evidence is not compromised.
- Key Concept: Safety first and the principle of "Fairness and Consistency" in enforcement.

Day 4: Seizure, Arrest, and Reporting

- Morning (Evidence Lab): Seizure and Recordkeeping. Practical exercise in documenting illegal catch or gear. Students fill out "Chain of Custody" forms and practice taking evidentiary photos that stand up in court.
 - Afternoon (Reporting & Debrief): Compliance Strategies. Discussing when to use a "Warning" vs. a "Penalty." Students present a "Patrol Report" summarizing their findings and identifying Data Gaps for future patrols.
 - Key Concept: Building "Political Will" through professional Reporting and transparency.
-

Required Field Tools

- Surveillance Gear: Binoculars, handheld GPS, cameras, and (if available) drones.
 - Safety Gear: Life jackets, VHF radios, and "Boarding Kits" (flashlights, gloves, evidence bags).
 - Documentation: Waterproof citations, boarding logs, and "Statement of Fact" templates.
-

Student Deliverable: The "Patrol Plan"

Students will work in small teams to produce a 24-Hour Patrol Strategy for the site, including:

1. Risk Map: Identifying "hotspots" for Illegal Activities based on historical data.
2. Asset Allocation: A plan for using VMS/AIS to trigger physical patrols.

3. Standard Procedure: A step-by-step "Boarding Checklist" tailored to local laws.

7 MODULE 3.5 ON-SITE MANAGEMENT

The field work moves beyond the office to the frontline of Conservation Logistics, where environmental factors such as salt, sun, and sea constantly challenge infrastructure integrity.

Duration: 3 days (intensive)

Focus: Asset Audit and Preventative Maintenance

Day 1: Infrastructure Audit & Asset Mapping

- Morning: The Comprehensive Audit. Learners walk the site (visitor centers, docks, or research stations) with a standardized audit checklist. They will identify "Critical Failure Points" in solar arrays, water systems, and structural integrity.
- Afternoon: Boundary & Mooring Inspection. A coastal or in-water session (snorkel/SCUBA/vessel-based) to inspect physical boundary markers and mooring buoys.
- Synthesis: Integrating findings into a "Condition Report," color-coding assets by their need for immediate, short-term, or long-term intervention.

Day 2: Maintenance Protocols & Field Repair

- Morning: The Preventative Maintenance (PM) Lab. Hands-on workshop for common MPA hardware. This includes servicing mooring tackle (shackles, lines, and anchors), basic outboard engine troubleshooting (fuel filters, spark plugs), and solar panel cleaning/maintenance.
- Afternoon: Signage & Outreach Logistics. Design and placement of physical signage. Learners practice the installation of weatherproof signage and evaluate the "wear and tear" of different materials in a high-salinity environment.

Day 3: Operational Logistics & Disaster Readiness

- Morning: Resource Scheduling. A simulation exercise managing HR and equipment logs. Learners must create a "Weekly Operational Schedule" that balances patrol boat usage, researcher transport, and maintenance downtime.
- Afternoon: Disaster Response & Securement. Practicing "Hurricane/Storm SOPs." Learners will execute a mock securement of a field station—hauling vessels, securing loose equipment, and protecting sensitive electronics.

Required Equipment & Materials

- Standardized Asset Audit Checklists (Physical or Digital).
 - Basic Tool Kits (Wrenches, pliers, multimeters).
 - Mooring tackle samples (for demonstration and assembly).
 - PPE (Personal Protective Equipment: gloves, eye protection, life jackets).
-

Assessment: The "Field Mastery" Check-Off

To pass the field component of 4.4, the instructor must observe and verify the following:

1. Audit Accuracy: Identification of at least three high-risk infrastructure failures during the Day 1 audit.
2. Technical Proficiency: Correct assembly and safety-wiring of a marine mooring shackle/thimble system.
3. Logistical Logic: Development of an operational schedule that accounts for fuel consumption and equipment "rest" periods.

8 MODULE 4.1 BIOPHYSICAL MONITORING

This field-work syllabus is designed to bridge the gap between classroom theory and the practical realities of marine data collection. It assumes students have completed the online portion of Module 5.1: Biophysical Monitoring.

Field Syllabus: Marine Biophysical Monitoring

Duration: 5 Days (Intensive)

Location: Designated MPA Site (Coral Reef, Mangrove, and Seagrass habitats)

Day 1: Site Orientation & Sampling Strategy

- Morning (Land): Site reconnaissance. Discussion on sampling strategies (random vs. stratified) and selecting monitoring stations based on MPA zonation.
- Afternoon (Water): In-water calibration. Standardizing observer estimates for fish sizing and substrate cover to reduce inter-observer bias.
- Key Concept: Establishing baseline data and understanding connectivity between adjacent ecosystems.

Day 2: Coral Reef Assessment (AGRRA / Reef Check)

- Morning (Field): Deployment of transects and quadrats. Conducting benthic cover assessments (hard coral, algae, sponges) and coral health checks (bleaching/disease).
- Afternoon (Field): Fish visual censuses. Identifying key indicator species (e.g., parrotfish for grazing, groupers for predator health).
- Evening: Reviewing the AGRRA or GCRMN protocols used during the day.

Day 3: Blue Carbon & Coastal Habitats (Seagrass & Mangroves)

- Morning (Seagrass): Measuring shoot density, canopy height, and species composition. Deploying core samplers for sediment analysis.
- Afternoon (Mangroves): Identifying zonation and succession. Measuring DBH (Diameter at Breast Height), seedling density, and identifying physical threats (e.g., plastic pollution, shoreline erosion).
- Key Concept: Evaluating ecosystem services and habitat "health" indicators.

Day 4: Physical Parameters & Technology

- Morning (Offshore): Collecting water quality parameters. Hands-on use of Secchi disks (turbidity), refractometers (salinity), and digital probes (DO, pH, Temp).
- Afternoon (Tech): Introduction to Useful Technologies. Testing GPS tagging for site relocation and practicing with underwater cameras or ROVs for deep-water monitoring.
- Key Concept: Understanding how degraded water quality impacts biological health.

Day 5: Data Management & Adaptive Management

- Morning (Lab/Office): Data entry and Quality Control. Moving raw field slates into digital databases. Basic statistical analysis (mean, standard deviation, and trend visualization).
- Afternoon (Workshop): "The Management Link." Presenting data findings to "Managers" (instructors) to justify an adaptive management decision (e.g., closing a zone due to high bleaching).
- Closing: Discussion on challenges (funding, weather, and consistency).

Required Field Kit

- Hardware: 30m Transect tapes, 0.5m² Quadrats, GPS units, Secchi disks.
 - Data Entry: Underwater slates, pencils, and calipers.
 - Safety: Surface Marker Buoys (SMBs), First Aid/O2 kit, and VHF radios.
-

Student Deliverable

By the end of this field course, students will produce a Short Monitoring Report that includes one biophysical dataset, a brief analysis of threats observed, and a management recommendation.

9 MODULE 4.2 MPA EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION

This field-work syllabus moves from data collection to high-level analysis. It focuses on the IUCN/WCPA Framework, which evaluates the entire management cycle rather than just biological health. Students will learn that a "successful" MPA requires not just fish, but also clear planning, adequate inputs, and efficient processes.

Field Syllabus: MPA Effectiveness Evaluation

Duration: 3 Days

Focus: Management Auditing, Scorecard Application, and Adaptive Feedback

Day 1: The Framework Audit (Context, Planning, & Inputs)

- Morning (Office/Site Visit): Evaluating Context and Planning. Students review the MPA's legal status, management plan, and stated goals. Are the conservation values clearly defined, or is it a "Paper Park"?
- Afternoon (Resource Audit): Assessing Inputs. A physical inspection of "The Three M's": Money, Men (Human Capacity), and Material (Equipment). Students interview staff to determine if current funding resources match the workload.
- Key Concept: Understanding that without proper Inputs, even the best Planning cannot lead to effective Outcomes.

Day 2: Process and Output Assessment (The Scorecard)

- Morning (Field Observation): Evaluating Process. Students observe daily operations (e.g., patrol logs, maintenance schedules, community meetings). How well are the available resources being used?
- Afternoon (Tool Application): Applying the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) or a similar MPA Scorecard. Working in groups, students score the site across different management categories based on their observations.
- Key Concept: Determining if the Process is transparent and consistent, or if there is a "Willingness" gap in management.

Day 3: Outcomes, Communication, and Adaptation

- Morning (Data Synthesis): Evaluating Outcomes. Students cross-reference biophysical monitoring data (from Module 5.1) with the management audit. Are the biological targets actually being met because of management, or in spite of it?
 - Afternoon (Reporting Workshop): Developing a Report Card. Groups transform their complex scores into a simplified "Report Card" for the public and a "Management Brief" for policy-makers.
 - Key Concept: Linking data to management decisions—identifying exactly where management must be "improved" (e.g., "We have the boats (Input), but no fuel budget (Process), so our patrols are failing (Output)").
-

Required Field Tools

- Assessment Tools: Copies of the METT-4, RAPPAM, or SAGE manuals.
 - Reference Materials: The current MPA Management Plan, Budget Reports, and Annual Performance Reviews.
 - Visualization Tools: Templates for creating "Spider Diagrams" or "Traffic Light" reporting charts.
-

Student Deliverable: The "Management Effectiveness Snapshot"

Students will produce a 4-page Evaluation Summary, including:

1. Spider Diagram: A visual representation of scores across the six framework elements.
2. The "Critical Gap": Identifying the single biggest hurdle to effectiveness (e.g., Capacity vs. Funding).
3. Adaptive Action Plan: Three specific recommendations to improve the "Outcome" score over the next 12 months.

10 MODULE 4.3 SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING

This field-work syllabus moves from the biological to the human dimension of conservation. It focuses on the SocMon (Socioeconomic Monitoring for Coastal Management) methodology, teaching students how to systematically collect data on the people whose livelihoods and behaviors are intertwined with the MPA.

Field Syllabus: Socioeconomic Monitoring

Duration: 3 Days

Focus: Stakeholder Analysis, Survey Design, and Human-Impact Mapping

Prerequisites: Online Theory (5.3), Basic Statistics, and Ethics in Social Research.

Day 1: Preparatory Activities & Variable Selection

- Morning (Classroom/Field Base): Defining the Scope. Students review the history and status of the local community. Selecting SocMon variables (e.g., household demographics, primary occupations, perceptions of MPA management).
- Afternoon (Community Walk): Secondary Data Validation. A "transect walk" through the community to identify physical infrastructure (markets, landing sites, schools) and verify existing data sources.
- Key Concept: Establishing a baseline—understanding the community's current socioeconomic status before assessing impacts.

Day 2: Data Collection (The SocMon Process)

- Morning (Community Outreach): Key Informant Interviews. Students interview community leaders or elders to understand attitudes and perceptions toward the MPA and identify historical trends in resource use.
- Afternoon (Field Survey): Household/Stakeholder Surveys. Working in pairs, students conduct structured interviews with fishers, tourism vendors, and residents to identify threats, problems, and opportunities.
- Evening: Data Entry and Quality Control. Cleaning the day's field notes to ensure data consistency.
- Key Concept: Building stakeholder participation through respectful and transparent engagement.

Day 3: Analysis, Spatial Mapping, and Communication

- Morning (Workshop): SocMon Spatial. Students plot human activities (e.g., favorite fishing spots, recreational areas) on a map to see how social use overlaps with ecologically sensitive zones.
 - Afternoon (Synthesis): Linking data to management decisions. Groups analyze their findings to answer: "Does the MPA provide value to the local economy?" or "Are current regulations causing socioeconomic hardship?"
 - Closing: Developing a Communication Strategy. Designing a way to share results back with the community to ensure transparency.
 - Key Concept: Addressing capacity and willingness challenges by showing stakeholders the value of their data.
-

Required Field Tools

- Survey Kits: Standardized SocMon questionnaires, clipboards, and pens.
 - Ethics Guides: Informed consent forms and guidelines for anonymous data handling.
 - Mapping Tools: Local community maps, transparent overlays, or mobile GIS apps (e.g., KoboToolbox).
-

Student Deliverable: The "Socioeconomic Site Profile"

Students will produce a Site-Level Social Assessment, including:

1. Stakeholder Profile: A breakdown of the primary livelihoods dependent on the MPA.
2. Perception Scorecard: A summary of how the community views the importance and value of the marine resources.
3. Adaptive Management Recommendation: One change to MPA operations that could improve community attitudes or create new opportunities (e.g., eco-tourism training).

11 MODULE 5.1 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The field work moves the learner beyond the theory of sociology into the art of facilitation. It focuses on the active skills of listening, power-balancing, and the professional management of public meetings where emotions and livelihoods are at stake.

Duration: 3 days (intensive)

Focus: Facilitation and Social Data Collection

Prerequisites: Online theory (5.1), and the Bridge Activity

Day 1: Observation & Community Mapping

- Morning: Active Listening Field Trip. Learners visit a local community hub (e.g., a fish landing site, a dive shop, or a village market). The task is not to speak, but to observe social hierarchies and document informal "power centers."
- Afternoon: Social-Spatial Mapping. Using the insights from the morning, learners create a "Social Map," identifying how different groups utilize the marine space differently (e.g., subsistence fishers vs. recreational boaters).
- Synthesis: Group discussion on "unseen stakeholders"—identifying who was missing from the community hub and how to reach them.

Day 2: Facilitation Techniques & Focus Groups

- Morning: The Art of the Question. A workshop on "Open vs. Closed" questioning. Learners practice techniques to draw out quiet participants and respectfully manage "dominant voices" in a group setting.
- Afternoon: Mock Focus Group Facilitation. Learners take turns facilitating a small-group discussion on a controversial topic (e.g., a new seasonal closure). Peers act as specific stakeholder personas.
- Review: Video playback or peer-critique focusing on body language, neutrality, and "active listening" cues.

Day 3: Public Meeting Simulation (Capstone)

- Morning: Meeting Design & Logistics. Learners plan a "Town Hall" meeting, including the physical layout (circular vs. theater seating), the agenda, and the methods for capturing feedback (e.g., "sticky note" voting vs. open mic).
 - Afternoon: The Town Hall Simulation. A high-intensity role-play. Learners must facilitate a simulated public meeting where multiple stakeholder groups have competing demands.
 - Final Synthesis: Practicing the "Close-out"—how to summarize what was heard and clearly define the next steps to maintain community trust.
-

Required Equipment & Materials

- Large-format chart paper and multi-colored markers.
 - "Stakeholder Persona Profiles" for role-play exercises.
 - Audio/Video recording equipment for facilitation review.
 - Facilitation "Toolkit" (Timers, talking sticks, feedback cards).
-

Assessment: The "Field Mastery" Check-Off

To pass the field component of 6.1, the instructor must observe and verify the following:

1. Neutrality Maintenance: The ability to facilitate a 15-minute discussion on a controversial topic without expressing a personal or "pro-conservation" bias.
2. Adaptive Facilitation: Successfully identifying and pivoting to engage a "quiet" participant during a mock session.
3. Synthesis Skill: Providing an accurate, 2-minute oral summary of a complex community discussion that captures all viewpoints fairly.